



NOV 30 1967

JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

OCTOBER TERM, 1967

No. 305

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,  
*Petitioner,*

*v.*

NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM ET AL.,  
*Respondents.*

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

OBJECTION OF RESPONDENTS TO MOTION OF  
MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION OF  
MASSACHUSETTS FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
A BRIEF AS AMICUS CURIAE

JOHN R. QUARLES  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

RICHARD B. DUNN  
RICHARD W. SOUTHGATE  
JOHN J. GLESSNER, III

ROPES & GRAY  
*Of Counsel*

Supreme Court of the United States

1901

MEMORANDUM

IN RE: THE PETITION OF THE  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
FOR A WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS  
ON BEHALF OF THE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

The respondent, who is the agent of the National  
Electric Association, is a resident of the District of  
Columbia, and is a citizen of the United States.  
The respondent was a free agent at the time of  
his arrest, and he was not a fugitive from justice.  
The respondent was not a member of the National  
Electric Association, and he was not a resident of  
the District of Columbia at the time of his arrest.

IN THE  
**Supreme Court of the United States**

OCTOBER TERM, 1967

---

No. 305

---

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,  
*Petitioner,*

*v.*

NEW ENGLAND ELECTRIC SYSTEM ET AL.,  
*Respondents.*

---

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO  
THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FIRST CIRCUIT

---

**OBJECTION OF RESPONDENTS TO MOTION OF  
MUNICIPAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION OF  
MASSACHUSETTS FOR LEAVE TO FILE  
A BRIEF AS AMICUS CURIAE**

---

The Respondents object to the motion of the Municipal Electric Association of Massachusetts for leave to file a brief as *amicus curiae*.

The Respondents withheld their consent to the filing of such brief for the reason that they saw no relevancy to the disposition of this case of the matters proposed to be pre-

sented in such brief, and no reason for doubting that all relevant facts and questions of law would be adequately presented by the parties. They find nothing in the motion or in the proposed brief annexed to it to cause them to reach a different conclusion.

Respectfully submitted,

JOHN R. QUARLES  
225 Franklin Street  
Boston, Massachusetts 02110

RICHARD B. DUNN  
RICHARD W. SOUTHGATE  
JOHN J. GLESSNER, III

ROPES & GRAY  
*Of Counsel*

November 29, 1967

It is not possible to say whether the  
the same will be found in the same  
position in the future. The  
in the present time, and it is  
with a different result.